

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/823,980	03/25/1997	AMY J. WEINER	CHIR-0108 8052	
7590 12/29/2005			EXAMINER	
ALISA A. HARBIN, ESQ			SCHWADRON, RONALD B	
CHIRON CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4560 HORTON STREET			1644	
EMERYVILLE, CA 946082916			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	08/823,980	WEINER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period well. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed the standard standa	N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
, <del>_</del>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
	U 1: 1:					
4) Claim(s) 41-44,52,55 and 56 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-44,52,55,56</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	alaction requirement					
	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

**Art Unit: 1644** 

1. Claims 41-44,52,55,56 are under consideration.

- 2. The rejection of claims 41-44,52 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8,24,33,38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,630,298 for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office Action are withdrawn in view of applicants arguments.
- 3. The rejection of claims 41-44,52 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 5-8,11-21 of U.S. Patent No.6,632,601 for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office Action are withdrawn in view of applicants arguments.
- 4. The rejection of claims 41-44,52,55,56 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No.6,797,809 for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office Action are withdrawn in view of the terminal disclaimer filed 10/3/2005.
- 5. The rejection of claims 41-44,52,55,56 as provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8,12,31,44-58 of copending Application No. 10/643853 for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office Action are withdrawn in view of applicants arguments.
- 6. The rejection of claims 41-44,52,55,56 as provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6-14,16,17,19,20,22-47 of copending Application No. 10/899715 for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office Action are withdrawn in view of applicants arguments.
- 7. The rejection of claims 41-44,52 as provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15,16,31,32 of copending Application No. 10/658782 for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office Action are withdrawn in view of applicants arguments.

Art Unit: 1644

8. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not disclose the claimed invention (the peptide recited in the claims). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at 571 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RONALD B. SCHWADRON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800 (600

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1644